

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/656,819	09/05/2003		Ming T. Chen		9412	
24987	7590	05/20/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
MARCUS G	THEODOR	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER				
466 SOUTH 5				ADTIBUT	DADED MINORD	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3711		

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/656,819	CHEN, MING T.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen L. Blau	3711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u>'</u>					
Period fo			on coponatinos dadress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)  🗙	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	entember 2003.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)□							
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dianosit	·						
·	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· -	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗆							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)ı	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 33 anatomica actioned action for a not of the continua depicts flot received.							
•	4.						
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐∷Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/5/03</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1 line 11 the word "grains" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

## **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference number 10 (Page 10 lines 3,4 and 6) is not in the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.
- 3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because informal drawings are supplied. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Claim 1 line 17 is indefinite in that the statement "behind the most probable

hitting surface" is indefinite. What is probable for one golfer may not be what is probable to

another. The examiner recommends removing the words "most probable". Claim 1 lines 27-28

are indefinite in that the statement "with most mass placed along the lower portion of the club

face most probable to impact a golf ball during repetitive strokes" is indefinite. What is probable

for one golfer may not be what is probable to another. The examiner recommends removing the

entire statement. Claim 1 line 44 is indefinite in that the statement "the most probable contact

segments of'. This statement is indefinite for the same reason. The examiner recommends

removing the entire statement. Claims 3-4 are indefinite in that the these conditions will be

different for each golfer and most likely change over time for each golfer due to many different

variables. The examiner recommends canceling these claims. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected for

depending on rejected base claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/656,819

Art Unit: 3711

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-2 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a back surface of a the club along the bottom sole with the height rising from a low point proximate a heel increasing along a sole to a peak reinforcing the middle of a lower back surface and thereafter declining to a low point proximate a toe, the depth of its sole mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing along the bottom of the sole in depth to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe along a sole, and a third center weight attached to a back surface behind the hitting surface above a sole weight in addition to the other elements of structure claimed. Golden discloses a first reinforcing sole weight behind a back surface of a the club along the bottom sole with a first reinforcing sole weight along the bottom sole with the height rising from a low point proximate a heel increasing along a sole to a peak reinforcing the middle of a lower back surface and thereafter declining to a low point proximate a toe, the depth of its sole mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing along the bottom of the sole in depth to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe along a sole (Fig. 5). Golden lacks a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a back surface and a third center weight above a sole weight. Nishitani, Madore, Gorman, and Penna disclose a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a hitting surface. Best (Des. 401,637) discloses a first reinforcing sole weight

Art Unit: 3711

attached behind a hitting surface and a third center weight above the sole weight (Fig. 7). Best lacks a first reinforcing sole weight attached behind a back surface of a club along the bottom sole with the depth of its sole mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate the heel and increasing along the bottom of the sole in depth to reinforce the

middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least thickness proximate a toe along a sole.

Long discloses a first reinforcing weight attached behind a back surface of a the club with the

depth of the mass increasing in thickness from a least thickness from a least thickness proximate

the heel and increasing to reinforce the middle and thereafter decreasing in thickness to a least

thickness proximate a toe (Fig. 20). Long lacks the thickness changing a long the sole and a

third center weight attached to a back surface behind the hitting surface above a sole weight.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 15 May 2004

STEPHEN BLAU RIMARY EXAMINER